

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  Nam Joong Kim	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/926,590	1	1/21/2001		P67297US0	2462
136	7590	01/30/2003			
JACOBSO	N HOLM	AN PLLC	EXAMINER		
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. SUITE 600				DI NOLA BARON, LILIANA	
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1615	
				DATE MAILED: 01/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/926,590	KIM ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Liliana Di Nola-Baron	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		et with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, m within the statutory minimum of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becon	ay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 N	<u>lovember 2001</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
, <u> </u>	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.						
<u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	۲.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/926,590 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-8, 11 and 14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,254,884.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the patent and the instant application are directed to a composition comprising somatotropin, lipid-soluble vitamins and a lubricant. The lecithin disclosed in the prior art as delaying agent comprises oleic, linoleic and linolenic acid. The two sets of claims are largely coextensive.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/926,590

Art Unit: 1615

4. Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 5. Regarding claim 8, the phrase "or other material" renders the claim indefinite because the claim includes elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or other material"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim unascertainable.
- 6. Regarding claims 9-13, the phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,520,927.

The patent provides a slow releasing composition comprising a polypeptide, which is parenterally administered, a tocopherol and a release-delaying agent (See col. 2, lines 25-35), and teaches that somatotropin, and in particular recombinant somatotropin, is suitable for the invention (See col. 3, lines 37-45). The patent includes choline derivatives among the delaying agents used in the invention (See col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 10) and the choline disclosed in the

Application/Control Number: 09/926,590

Art Unit: 1615

prior art as delaying agent comprises oleic, linoleic and linolenic acid. In Example 1, the patent teaches that 500 ml. of somatotropin, at a concentration of 18 mg./ml. is mixed with 3 g of phosphatidylcholine.

The compositions disclosed by the prior art meet the limitations of claims 1-4, 6-8, 11 and 14 of the instant application, as they contemplate a composition consisting of somatotropin, vitamins and lubricants. Thus, the patent anticipates the claimed invention.

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 9. Claims 1-8, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by WO 99/43342.

The applied reference has common inventors with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

The patent discloses compositions comprising somatotropin and at least two kinds of lipid-soluble vitamins, specifically vitamin A and vitamin E (See p. 5, line 24 to p. 6, line 6), and teaches that the somatotropin can be recombinant somatotropin in an amount of 10-40%, vitamin

A is in the range of 500,000-5,000,000/ g of somatotropin and vitamin E is in the range of 500-4,000 units/ g of somatotropin (See p. 10, lines 5-19). The patent teaches that the composition is prepared by mixing somatotropin with lecithin (See p. 10, lines 20-26) and the lecithin disclosed in the prior art as delaying agent comprises oleic, linoleic and linolenic acid.

The compositions disclosed by the prior art meet the limitations of claims 1-8, 11 and 14 of the instant application, as they contemplate a composition consisting of somatotropin, vitamins and lubricants. Thus, the patent anticipates the claimed invention.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,497,886.

The patent discloses compositions for injection comprising somatotropin, fat-soluble vitamins, such as vitamin A and vitamin E, solvents, such as ethanol, glycerol, PEGs and benzyl alcohol, and agents to increase the viscosity, such as PEG (See col. 8, line 11 to col. 10, line 34), and even though it does not specify the amounts of each component, the patent teaches that vitamins can be mixed in any ratio with the active compound of the invention (See col. 9, lines 47-51).

Application/Control Number: 09/926,590 Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teachings of the patent to device compositions consisting of somatotropin, vitamins and lubricants to obtain injectable somatotropin compositions. The expected result would have been a successful composition. Because of the teachings of the patent, that vitamins can be mixed in any ratio with the active compound, one of ordinary skill in the art would have a reasonable expectation that the compositions claimed in the instant application would be successful. Therefore the invention as a whole would have been *prima* facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Liliana Di Nola-Baron whose telephone number is 703-308-8318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 5:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1234/1235.

Sene3

January 27, 2003

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600